

POLITICAL SCIENCE 3588F
INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS
FALL TERM
2024

Fall Term 2024

Instructor: Dr. Samar El-Masri

Office Hour: By appointment.

Class Time: Mondays 11:30-1:30

I-COURSE DESCRIPTION:

This course will provide students with a general theoretical background of the topic of human rights and its various conceptions and theoretical dilemmas. It will also look at some *issues* of concern, delving into specific violations of human rights, including genocide and femicide, while shedding light on various vulnerable groups. This course will also focus on possible responses to these violations by the United Nations, states, as well as civil society organizations and victims' groups.

III-REQUIRED TEXTS:

Goodhart, Michael. Ed. *Human Rights: Politics and Practice*. 4th ed. New York: Oxford University Press, 2022.

https://bookstore.uwo.ca/textbook-search?campus=UWO&term=W2024A&courses%5B0%5D=001_UW/POL3588F

Other readings from books and journals are also required. You will find those in the "Course Readings" on this course OWL's page. You are responsible for accessing them yourself.

IV-IMPORTANT NOTICE RE : PREREQUISITES/ANTIREQUISITES

You are responsible for ensuring that you have successfully completed all course prerequisites, and that you have not taken an Antirequisites course. Lack of prerequisites may not be used as a basis for appeal. If you are found to be ineligible for a course, you may be removed from it at any time and you will receive no adjustment to your fees. This decision cannot be appealed. If you find that you do not have the course requisites, it is in your best interest to drop the course well before the end of the add/drop period. Your prompt attention to this matter will not only help protect your academic record but will ensure that spaces become available for students who require the course in question for graduation.

Office of the Dean, Faculty of Social Science

V-COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Participation 5 %

Op-ed	15 % (Oct 11)
Essay	40 % (Dec 06)
Two take-home exam	20% each (1 st is due Oct 25 and second is on Nov 22)

1-Participation

- This assessment will be based on regular contributions to the class discussion, reflecting some grasp of the relevant materials and some analytical abilities in applying those materials in the discussion. Although the overall mark will factor in your attendance, you will be mainly evaluated on your in-class discussion and participation. **In other words, attendance alone will not guarantee you a good mark.** Students should consult the handout “Student Participation” below, for criteria.
- If for any reason, you feel that you were not able to participate as you would like, or you could have made a different point, then you are more than welcome to send me a written response to some of the questions that we pose in class, which will then be corrected and counted towards your participation mark. I usually post the major questions on OWL (Course Content) after class.
- All discussions and debates should be always guided by an ethics of respect. Uncivil, disrespectful, or inappropriate behavior of any kind will not be tolerated.
- As some of the issues that we deal with in this class are extremely disturbing, I encourage students who may have any concerns about a specific topic to discuss them with me before the subject comes up. I will also understand if some students choose to step out of the class as a result. While they will NOT be academically penalized, they will be responsible for any material missed.

2-Op-ed (Oct 11)

This semester, students will be asked to write an opinion piece.

a-Subject:

Jack Donnelly argues that human rights are individualistic in nature—with few exceptions—and that these rights are capable of accommodating the legitimate interests of minority groups. Others disagree explaining that individual human rights are not enough to protect minorities. Using primarily the readings, the lecture and our class discussion, take a position explaining why you think he has a point, or whether his analysis is flawed. Use examples/case studies to defend your position.

b-Style:

Op-eds must be between 700-800 words, typed double-spaced. Please stick to the size limit as any additional work will not be read or corrected.

The paper must be formatted in Times New Roman 12pt font with 2.54 cm margins all around. The paper should be referenced. **ONLY FOOTNOTES are accepted using *Chicago-style footnotes***, Papers that do not meet these basic criteria will be penalized.

- Op-ed pieces will be marked for understanding of the readings, research, organization, and writing style (see rubric at the end of this document)
- How to submit it? Essays must be submitted to Turnitin.com, using the “Assignments” link on OWL.
- The deadline for this assignment is **October 11 at 12 midnight**.
- **Since the timeframe submission of the Op-ed is very flexible and since you are able to submit this assignment at any time between September 10 and October 11, an instructor may deny academic consideration in this case. A late penalty of 10% per day will be applied to papers submitted at any point after that.**

3-Take home exams

This semester, you will be given two take-home exams. DO NOT use google searches, ChatGPT or any other AI tool to answer these questions. You are not allowed to use outside sources. Your answer should depend **solely** on the readings, the lecture, and class discussion. More details will be presented in class and posted online as we get closer to the due date.

The question(s) will be released three days before the due date which will be on a Friday at 12 midnight. **The first exam will be due on October 25, and the second on November 22.** You need to complete the answer(s) and upload your PDF file to “assignment” tab. Class will be held as usual that week and you will be responsible for its content.

As per the new policy on academic consideration, since the timeframe submission of these two exams is already flexible (more than 72 hrs. submission window), an instructor may deny academic consideration in this case.

4-Essay Project (Dec 06)

You will be required to write an argumentative essay of 2250-2500 words (so roughly 10 pages double-spaced-excluding bibliography). Please stick to the size limit as any additional work will not be read or corrected.

Please use 12-point Times New Roman font with one-inch margins. You need to consult and get the approval of the instructor before choosing a topic that is not covered in class.

Deadline:

You can submit your essay at any time, **but the deadline is Dec 06 at 12 midnight**. Since the timeframe submission of the essay is very flexible and since you are able to submit this assignment at any time between September 10 and December 06, an instructor may deny academic consideration in this case. So please organize your time wisely.

Essays must be submitted to Turnitin.com, using the “Assignments” link on OWL.

Citation Style

Citations **must** be formatted using Chicago-style footnotes, **not in-text citations**. I am looking for FOOTNOTES AND NOT ENDNOTES. Students are advised to consult a writer’s handbook when composing their essays to see how to format things like bibliography and footnotes. One excellent handbook is *A Manual for Writers of Term Papers, Theses, and*

Dissertations by Kate L. Turabian. A useful link may be found at the following url: <http://www.wisc.edu/writing/Handbook/DocChicago.html>.

Spelling and Grammatical Errors

Grammatical, spelling, and other errors are not acceptable. You are responsible for your own work, and, as such, you must be careful to proofread your work before turning it in.

Bibliography

You must attach a Bibliography to your essay. Your bibliography must include a minimum of five academic sources (consult a librarian for clarification on what counts as an academic source (e.g. newspapers, magazines, and encyclopedias do not count). **Failure to include at least five ACADEMIC sources will result in a grade of “F”.** The highest grades in this course typically go to students that consult many high-quality source materials. Consulting more than seven sources is highly recommended.

VI-A NOTE ON AI TOOLS AND CHATGPT

STUDENTS are expected to submit their original work for all assignments in this course. Its use during exams is prohibited. If a student decides to use these tools when writing an op-ed, or an essay, that use should remain limited. For example, a student may use it in the initial stage to generate an outline, organize thoughts and concepts...etc. However, in all cases, the student is expected to submit with the assignment an appendix to explain how the AI tool was used, or harsh penalties will be applied.

VII-ABSENCES & PENALTIES

You must submit all assignments on their due date. **A late penalty of 10% per day will be applied to assignments submitted at any point after that. To avoid the penalty, please have your request approved by your academic counselor—no exceptions.**

As per the university policy:

By policy, *academic considerations for work totaling 10% or more of the final course grade can be granted only by the student's Faculty of Registration (typically by their academic counsellors).* In such cases, students should be directed as follows.

For work totaling 10% or more of the final course grade, you must provide valid medical or supporting documentation to the Academic Counselling Office of your Faculty of Registration as soon as possible. For further information, please consult the University's medical illness policy at

https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/accommodation_medical.pdf.

The Student Medical Certificate is available at

https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/medicalform.pdf.

VII. ACCOMMODATION AND ACCESSIBILITY

1-Religious Accommodation

When a course requirement conflicts with a religious holiday that requires an absence from the University or prohibits certain activities, students should request accommodation for their absence in writing at least two weeks prior to the holiday to the course instructor and/or the Academic Counselling office of their Faculty of Registration. Please consult University's list of recognized religious holidays (updated annually) at

<https://multiculturalcalendar.com/ecal/index.php?s=c-univwo>.

2-Accommodation Policies

Students with disabilities are encouraged to contact Accessible Education, which provides recommendations for accommodation based on medical documentation or psychological and cognitive testing. The policy on Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities can be found at:

[https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/Academic Accommodation_disabilities.pdf](https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/Academic_Accommodation_disabilities.pdf).

3- Support Services

Please visit the Social Science Academic Counselling webpage for information on adding/dropping courses, academic considerations for absences, appeals, exam conflicts, and many other academic related matters: [Academic Counselling - Western University \(uwo.ca\)](https://www.uwo.ca/academic_counselling/)

Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Mental Health@Western (<https://uwo.ca/health/>) for a complete list of options about how to obtain help.

Western is committed to reducing incidents of gender-based and sexual violence and providing compassionate support to anyone who has gone through these traumatic events. If you have experienced sexual or gender-based violence (either recently or in the past), you will find information about support services for survivors, including emergency contacts at

https://www.uwo.ca/health/student_support/survivor_support/get-help.html.

To connect with a case manager or set up an appointment, please contact support@uwo.ca.

If you have any questions about accommodation, please contact:

http://academicsupport.uwo.ca/accessible_education/index.html.

VIII-COURSE OUTLINE BY TOPIC:

Please note that Goodhart's *Human Rights: Politics and Practice* is an edited book and that each of the chapters is written by a different author. But for an easier reference, I just added the number of the chapter.

Sep 09 Introduction (Definition and Philosophical Evolution)

No readings for today.

Sep 16 Historical Evolution of International Human Rights law, Sources & Mechanisms

Required readings:

- Goodhart Chapter 2
- Watch: [The Human Rights Treaty Body system - YouTube](#)

Sep 23 Theoretical debates: Universalism Vs Relativism

Required Readings:

- Jack Donnelly, *Universal Human Rights in Theory and Practice*, Third edition (Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 2013) chapters 6 & 7
- Andrew Heard, “Human Rights: Chimeras in Sheep’s Clothing,” Simon Fraser University (online) 1997 (cited 2013); available from <http://www.sfu.ca/~aheard/417/util.html>

Sep 30 NO CLASS-National Day for Truth and Reconciliation

Oct 07 Theoretical debates: Are human rights indivisible? Are they individualistic and/or Collective? Is there a tension between them and the principle of sovereignty?

Required Readings:

- Jack Donnelly, *Universal Human Rights in Theory and Practice*, Third edition (Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 2013), chapter 3
- Debra L Delaet, *The Global Struggle for Human Rights*, second edition (USA: Cengage Learning, 2015), chapter 4

Oct 14 Thanksgiving holiday!

Oct 21 Environmental Rights

Required Readings:

- Goodhart Ch 14
- Colin T Reid “Pitfalls in Promoting Environmental Rights” in *Environmental rights in Europe and beyond* (ed.) Bogojević, S., & Rayfuse, R. G. (Portland, Oregon: Hart Publishing, 2018). 27-48

Oct 28 Rights of indigenous People

Required Readings:

- S Wheatley, *Democracy, minorities and international law* (Cambridge University Press, 2005), 109-126.
- Leena Heinämäki “Indigenous Peoples’ environmental human rights—From objects of protection towards stewardship: assessment of current international standards” (chapter 10) in *Research Handbook on the International Law of Indigenous Rights* (Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022).
- **Indigenous people as partners; See [How Indigenous fire management practices could protect bushland | Australian Story \(youtube.com\)](#)**

Nov 04 Rights of Refugees, the UNHCR and the UNRWA

Required Readings:

- Goodhart ch.13
- Susan M Akram “UNRWA and Palestine Refugees” in Costello, Cathryn, Michelle Foster, and Jane McAdam, eds. 2021. *The Oxford Handbook of International Refugee Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Nov 11 Femicide

Required Readings:

- Michael Platzer “Prevention of Femicide” in *Crime Prevention: International Perspectives, Issues and Trends* (ed.) John A Winterdyk (Routledge, 2016) 254-279
- Maureen Meyer, “Combatting Femicide in Mexico: Achievements and ongoing challenges,” in *The Courage to Fight Violence Against Women* (ed.) Paula L Ellman and Nancy R Goodman (Routledge, 2019), Chapter 15

Nov 18 Genocide: What is it? What are states’ obligations? Punitive justice?

Required Readings:

- Goodhart ch.8
- Guenaël Mettraux “Chapter 5: Duties to prevent and to punish genocide” in *International Crimes: Law and Practice: Volume 1: Genocide*

Nov 25 A Top-Down Approach: Minority Rights and Affirmative Action

Required readings:

- S Wheatley, *In Democracy, Minorities and International Law*, p 38-43
- Gertrude Ezorsky, *Racism and Justice: The Case for Affirmative Action* (Ithaca and London: Cornell University press, 1991), Chapter 1 and Chapter 2
- Bossuyt, M, “The Concept of Affirmative Action” in *International Human Rights Protection: Balanced, Critical, Realistic* (Intersentia, 2016), 43-56
- Please listen to this episode from The Daily Podcast (NYTimes) entitled "The First Post-Affirmative Action Class Enters College," September 6, 2024. [The First Post-Affirmative Action Class Enters College - The New York Times \(nytimes.com\)](https://www.nytimes.com/2024/09/06/us/politics/affirmative-action-class-enters-college.html)

Dec 02 A Bottom-up approach: Human Rights and Civil Society

Required Readings:

- Goodhart ch.16

- Alfonso Salgado, “Communism and Human Rights in Pinochet’s Chile: The 1977 Hunger Strike against Forced Disappearance,” *Cold War History* 18 (2) (2018-04-03), 169-186.

Recommended Reading:

- Lola Ayotunde “Evaluation of indigenous Peoples influence during the drafting process of UNDRIP” in *Research Handbook on the International Law of Indigenous Rights* edited by Dwight Newman (Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2022)

Student Participation

Participation Grading Guide:

Grade	Attendance/5	Discussion/7.5	Reading/7.5
20	Always	Excellent: leads debate; offers analysis and comments; always has ideas on theme of reading; takes care not to dominate; asks questions	Clearly has done and prepared questions on virtually all readings; intelligently uses this understanding and these questions in discussion
15-19	Almost always	Very Good: thoughtful comments and questions for the most part; willing, able and frequent contributor	Has done most readings; provides competent analysis of reading when prompted by others
10-14	Occasional	When attending, the student shows a basic grasp of key concepts; arguments are sporadic and at times incomplete or poorly supported; unwilling to ask questions	Displays familiarity with most readings, but tends not to analyze them or to relate them to the course material
5-9	Occasional	Somewhat Poor: remarks in class	Actual knowledge of material is

		marred by misunderstandings of key concepts; seldom contributes effectively to discussion of the main theme; often digresses in unhelpful ways; sporadic	outweighed by improvised comments and remarks
0-4	Rare	Poor: rarely speaks; parrots text or comments of others	Little or no apparent familiarity with assigned material

THE ESSAY RUBRIC (please see tips on writing the essay in Course Content!)

Grade	0-8	9-12	12-17	17-20
Thesis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -It is very hard to identify the thesis statement in the introduction. -The reader may reach the conclusion without understanding what the argument really is. - The topic may be inappropriate for this assignment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The thesis may or may not be in the introduction, but it is hard to identify. -it is slightly inappropriate for the assignment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The thesis is written in the introduction -Although the introduction is present in the intro, it may need further development -It is appropriate for the assignment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The thesis is skillfully incorporated in the introduction -It is easily identifiable, clear, concise, and insightful -The issue that is chosen is appropriate and directly relates to what we have been studying in the course.
Historical and theoretical background (lit review)	-No historical and theoretical background. Or irrelevant to the essay.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Some sort of historical and theoretical background. -lots of historical errors -Some misunderstanding of the literature 	-Good theoretical and historical background although further clarification may be needed.	Great theoretical (lit review) and historical background.
Argument	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The argument is unclear and weak -The student is unable to support the thesis 	-The argument is evident but may not be defined clearly.	-The student has not talked about counterarguments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Clearly defines the issue - Fully supports the thesis

	<p>with appropriate evidence</p> <p>-The quality of the evidence is lacking. The argument is based on pure opinion...</p>	<p>-The claims are unevenly supported, with weak or unsubstantiated evidence.</p> <p>-Not all of the claims as they appear in the thesis statement are discussed.</p>	<p>in the theoretical background.</p> <p>-The claims are well supported but may not be completely convincing.</p> <p>-Some unclarity in the argument.</p> <p>-Or some parts of the argument are nicely written, but not others.</p>	<p>statement with sound reasons and evidence</p> <p>-effectively responds to counterarguments</p>
Style	<p>-Too many errors regardless of how strong the argument is.</p> <p>-The student does not follow the referencing style that is required in this assignment.</p> <p>-The formatting of the essay is incorrect (with respect to spacing, font style and size, page numbers...</p>	<p>-The piece is readable but the various mistakes in grammar, punctuations, or design “begin to create static in the reading experience.”</p> <p>-The student may follow the referencing style but with too many errors.</p> <p>-Some errors with respect to formatting.</p>	<p>-mostly correct, easy to read, rare errors that do not affect the reading experience.</p> <p>-sentences could be more concise</p> <p>-The student follows the referencing style but with some errors.</p> <p>-Mostly follow the formatting required in this assignment</p>	<p>-correct, easy to read, no errors, concise clear sentences.</p> <p>-The student fully follows the referencing style with no errors.</p> <p>-Follows the formatting specification required.</p>
Organization (how the essay flows)	<p>Unfocused title, boring introduction, unclear topic sentences and weak transitions. The article does not flow.</p>	<p>-okay title.</p> <p>-Introduction is too broad, even though the focus is seen later on.</p> <p>-conclusion is not focused, may be unrelated to the main idea.</p>	<p>-title works well.</p> <p>-good introduction but without catching our attention.</p> <p>-Conclusion summarizes the argument but without recommending or talking about the implications of the argument.</p> <p>-clear topic sentences but may not clearly contribute to an understanding of</p>	<p>-clever title</p> <p>-effective introduction</p> <p>-great conclusion with a clear answer to the “so what” or “So what now” question.</p> <p>-Clear transitions, and topic sentences...</p> <p>-The essay flows very well.</p>

			the overall argument. -Generally speaking, the essay flows well.	
--	--	--	---	--

Op-eds. Rubric:

	0-4	4.5-6.5	7-8.5	9-10
Research and understanding of readings	-no mention of the readings -irrelevant research -no research -limited ability to understand the debate	-there is a limited research -limited understanding of the readings and the debate	-student made sufficient research -clear but basic understanding of the readings and the debate	-student clearly explains and summarizes the debate -reliance on good evidence to support the argument -A skilled use of research to bolster the argument
Argument	-no thesis statement in intro -there is no argument -The argument evokes emotions that go against the author's position -writer unable to support the argument -no examples/cases given.	-vague thesis statement -the position is evident but not defined clearly -the claims unevenly supported with weak and unsubstantiated evidence -occasional mention of examples or cases in the argument.	-basic thesis statement -claims are well supported but may not be completely convincing - Generally speaking, the writer can evoke the appropriate emotion in the audience. -Mostly goes back to the case to support the argument.	-excellent thesis statement summarizing the main pts of the argument --Clearly identifies the audience - Fully supports claims with sound reasons and evidence -Effectively evokes the appropriate emotion in the audience and responds to counterarguments

				-Consistently goes back to the case study to support the argument.
Voice	The author's voice is not heard in the piece.	The author's voice is weak in the paper.	The author's voice is heard.	-A strong author's voice is heard throughout the article. -A clear separation between what the debate says and the author's position from it.
Organization/language/referencing	-Too many language errors regardless of the argument's strength -unfocused title -boring intro, -unclear topic sentences -weak transitions -article does not flow	-Okay title -intro is too broad. -conclusion is not focused, and unrelated to the main idea.	-title works well -good intro but without catching out attention. -conclusion summarizes the argument but without recommending or talking about implications -clear topic sentences but may not clearly contribute to an understanding of the overall argument.	-Clever title -effective intro -great conclusion with clear answer as to the "so what" or "so what now" question. -clear transition and topic sentences. -essay flows nicely

APPENDIX TO UNDERGRADUATE COURSE OUTLINES DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE

Prerequisite checking - the student's responsibility

"Unless you have either the requisites for this course or written special permission from your Dean to enroll in it, you may be removed from this course and it will be deleted from your record. This decision may not be appealed. You will receive no adjustment to your fees in the event that you are dropped from a course for failing to have the necessary prerequisites."

Essay course requirements

With the exception of 1000-level courses, most courses in the Department of Political Science are essay courses. Total written assignments (excluding examinations) will be at least 3,000 words in Politics 1020E, at least 5,000 words in a full course numbered 2000 or above, and at least 2,500 words in a half course numbered 2000 or above.

Use of Personal Response Systems ("Clickers")

"Personal Response Systems ("clickers") may be used in some classes. If clickers are to be used in a class, it is the responsibility of the student to ensure that the device is activated and functional. Students must see their instructor if they have any concerns about whether the clicker is malfunctioning. Students must use only their own clicker. If clicker records are used to compute a portion of the course grade:

- the use of somebody else's clicker in class constitutes a scholastic offence,
- the possession of a clicker belonging to another student will be interpreted as an attempt to commit a scholastic offence."

Security and Confidentiality of Student Work (refer to current *Western Academic Calendar*
<http://www.westerncalendar.uwo.ca/>)

"Submitting or Returning Student Assignments, Tests and Exams - All student assignments, tests and exams will be handled in a secure and confidential manner. Particularly in this respect, leaving student work unattended in public areas for pickup is not permitted."

Duplication of work

Undergraduate students who submit similar assignments on closely related topics in two different courses must obtain the consent of both instructors prior to the submission of the assignment. If prior approval is not obtained, each instructor reserves the right not to accept the assignment.

Grade adjustments

In order to ensure that comparable standards are applied in political science courses, the Department may require instructors to adjust final marks to conform to Departmental guidelines.

Academic Offences

"Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site:
http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/scholastic_discipline_undergrad.pdf

Submission of Course Requirements

ESSAYS, ASSIGNMENTS, TAKE-HOME EXAMS MUST BE SUBMITTED ACCORDING TO PROCEDURES SPECIFIED BY YOUR INSTRUCTOR (I.E., IN CLASS, DURING OFFICE HOURS, TA'S OFFICE HOURS) OR UNDER THE INSTRUCTOR'S OFFICE DOOR.

THE MAIN OFFICE DOES NOT DATE-STAMP OR ACCEPT ANY OF THE ABOVE.

Attendance Regulations for Examinations

EXAMINATIONS/ATTENDANCE (Sen. Min. Feb.4/49, May 23/58, S.94, S.3538, S.3632, S.04-097) A student is entitled to be examined in courses in which registration is maintained, subject to the following limitations: 1) A student may be debarred from writing the final examination for failure to maintain satisfactory academic standing throughout the year. 2) Any student who, in the opinion of the instructor, is absent too frequently from class or laboratory periods in any course will be reported to the Dean of the Faculty offering the course (after due warning has been given). On the recommendation of the Department concerned, and with the permission of the Dean of that Faculty, the student will be debarred from taking the regular examination in the course. The Dean of the Faculty offering the course will communicate that decision to the Dean of the Faculty of registration.

Absences from Final Examinations

If you miss the Final Exam, please contact the Academic Counselling office of your Faculty of Registration as soon as you are able to do so. They will assess your eligibility to write the Special Examination (the name given by the University to a makeup Final Exam).

You may also be eligible to write the Special Exam if you are in a "Multiple Exam Situation" (e.g., more than 2 exams in 23-hour period, more than 3 exams in a 47-hour period).

Note: Missed work can *only* be excused through one of the mechanisms above. Being asked not to attend an in-person course requirement due to potential COVID-19 symptoms is **not** sufficient on its own. Students should check the Western website to see what directives for Covid are to be followed. Western has been and will continue to follow directives established by the Middlesex-London Health Unit. That directive will state whether students should or should not come to campus/class and any other requirements (e.g., masks are mandatory). Please check on your own and do not email the instructor, the Department Undergraduate Advisor/Coordinator or the Faculty of Social Science Academic Counselling Office.

Accommodation and Accessibility

Religious Accommodation

When a course requirement conflicts with a religious holiday that requires an absence from the University or prohibits certain activities, students should request accommodation for their absence in writing at least two weeks prior to the holiday to the course instructor and/or the

Academic Counselling office of their Faculty of Registration. Please consult University's list of recognized religious holidays (updated annually) at

<https://multiculturalcalendar.com/ecal/index.php?s=c-univwo>.

Accommodation Policies

Students with disabilities are encouraged to contact Accessible Education, which provides recommendations for accommodation based on medical documentation or psychological and cognitive testing. The policy on Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities can be found at:

[https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/Academic Accommodation_disabilities.pdf](https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/Academic_Accommodation_disabilities.pdf).

Academic Policies

The website for Registrarial Services is <http://www.registrar.uwo.ca>.

In accordance with policy,

https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/policies_procedures/section1/mapp113.pdf,

the centrally administered e-mail account provided to students will be considered the individual's official university e-mail address. It is the responsibility of the account holder to ensure that e-mail received from the University at their official university address is attended to in a timely manner.

During exams/tests/quizzes, no electronic devices (e.g. a phone, laptop, iPad) are allowed and must be powered down and stored out of reach.

Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following Web site:

http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic_policies/appeals/scholastic_discipline_undergrad.pdf.

All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (<http://www.turnitin.com>).

Computer-marked multiple-choice tests and exams may be subject to submission for similarity review by software that will check for unusual coincidences in answer patterns that may indicate cheating.

If a course uses remote proctoring, please be advised that you are consenting to the use of this software and acknowledge that you will be required to provide **personal information** (including

some biometric data) and the session will be **recorded**. Completion of a course with remote proctoring will require you to have a reliable internet connection and a device that meets the technical requirements for this service. More information about this remote proctoring service, including technical requirements, is available on Western's Remote Proctoring website at:

<https://remoteproctoring.uwo.ca>.

Support Services

Please visit the Social Science Academic Counselling webpage for information on adding/dropping courses, academic considerations for absences, appeals, exam conflicts, and many other academic related matters: [Academic Counselling - Western University \(uwo.ca\)](https://www.uwo.ca/academic_counselling/)

Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Mental Health@Western (<https://uwo.ca/health/>) for a complete list of options about how to obtain help.

Western is committed to reducing incidents of gender-based and sexual violence and providing compassionate support to anyone who has gone through these traumatic events. If you have experienced sexual or gender-based violence (either recently or in the past), you will find information about support services for survivors, including emergency contacts at

https://www.uwo.ca/health/student_support/survivor_support/get-help.html.

To connect with a case manager or set up an appointment, please contact support@uwo.ca.

Please contact the course instructor if you require lecture or printed material in an alternate format or if any other arrangements can make this course more accessible to you. You may also wish to contact Accessible Education at

http://academicsupport.uwo.ca/accessible_education/index.html

if you have any questions regarding accommodations.

Learning-skills counsellors at the Learning Development and Success Centre (<https://learning.uwo.ca>) are ready to help you improve your learning skills. They offer presentations on strategies for improving time management, multiple-choice exam preparation/writing, textbook reading, and more. Individual support is offered throughout the Fall/Winter terms in the drop-in Learning Help Centre, and year-round through individual counselling.

Western University is committed to a thriving campus as we deliver our courses in the mixed model of both virtual and face-to-face formats. We encourage you to check out the Digital Student Experience website to manage your academics and well-being: <https://www.uwo.ca/se/digital/>.

Additional student-run support services are offered by the USC, <https://westernusc.ca/services/>.

Plagiarism:

Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea, or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. Plagiarism is a major academic offence." (see Scholastic Offence Policy in the Western Academic Calendar).

Plagiarism Checking: "All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (<http://www.turnitin.com>)."

Multiple-choice tests/exams: "Computer-marked multiple-choice tests and/or exams may be subject to submission for similarity review by software that will check for unusual coincidences in answer patterns that may indicate cheating."

Note: Information excerpted and quoted above are Senate regulations from the Handbook of Scholarship and Academic Policy. https://www.uwo.ca/univsec/academic_policies/index.html

PLAGIARISM*

In writing scholarly papers, you must keep firmly in mind the need to avoid plagiarism. Plagiarism is the unacknowledged borrowing of another writer's words or ideas. Different forms of writing require different types of acknowledgement. The following rules pertain to the acknowledgements necessary in academic papers.

A. In using another writer's words, you must both place the words in quotation marks and acknowledge that the words are those of another writer.

You are plagiarizing if you use a sequence of words, a sentence or a paragraph taken from other writers without acknowledging them to be theirs. Acknowledgement is indicated either by (1) mentioning the author and work from which the words are borrowed in the text of your paper; or by (2) placing a footnote number at the end of the quotation in your text, and including a correspondingly numbered footnote at the bottom of the page (or in a separate reference section at the end of your essay). This footnote should indicate author, title of the work, place and date of publication, and page number.

Method (2) given above is usually preferable for academic essays because it provides the reader with more information about your sources and leaves your text uncluttered with parenthetical and tangential references. In either case words taken from another author must be enclosed in quotation marks or set off from your text by single spacing and indentation in such a way that they cannot be mistaken for your own words. Note that you cannot avoid indicating quotation simply by changing a word or phrase in a sentence or paragraph which is not your own.

B. In adopting other writers' ideas, you must acknowledge that they are theirs.

You are plagiarizing if you adopt, summarize, or paraphrase other writers' trains of argument, ideas or sequences of ideas without acknowledging their authorship according to the method of acknowledgement given in 'A' above. Since the words are your own, they need not be enclosed in quotation marks. Be certain, however, that the words you use are entirely your own; where you must use words or phrases from your source, these should be enclosed in quotation marks, as in 'A' above.

Clearly, it is possible for you to formulate arguments or ideas independently of another writer who has expounded the same ideas, and whom you have not read. Where you got your ideas is the important consideration here. Do not be afraid to present an argument or idea without acknowledgement to another writer, if you have arrived at it entirely independently. Acknowledge it if you have derived it from a source outside your own thinking on the subject.

In short, use of acknowledgements and, when necessary, quotation marks is necessary to distinguish clearly between what is yours and what is not. Since the rules have been explained to you, if you fail to make this distinction your instructor very likely will do so for you, and they will be forced to regard your omission as intentional literary theft. Plagiarism is a serious offence which may result in a student's receiving an 'F' in a course or, in extreme cases in their suspension from the University.

*Reprinted by permission of the Department of History

Adopted by the council of the Faculty of Social Science, October, 1970; approved by the Dept. of History August 13, 1991