# University of Western Ontario Department of Political Science

# The Craft of Political Science Research

(a.k.a. Advanced Research Design)
Political Science 9502A
Fall 2024

Instructor: Dr. Zack Taylor E-mail: <u>zack.taylor@uwo.ca</u>

**Phone:** 519-661-2111 ext. 85169

**Office Hours:** Online via Zoom by appointment

**Dates:** Thursdays 9am–12pm

**Location:** See OWL

## COURSE DESCRIPTION AND LEARNING OUTCOMES

The objective of this course is to provide early-stage doctoral students in political science with an understanding of research design principles, as well as disagreements about them, that they will carry forward into their dissertation projects and future careers as researchers. By the end of the course, students will be able to situate their research interests within the development of the discipline, recognize the value of different research approaches, and critically evaluate the theories, empirical strategies, and causal claims found in political science research products, and assess their validity. As much as possible, equal attention will be given to political science research traditions in domestic politics, comparative politics, international relations, and political theory. The goal of this course is not to teach specific *methodologies* – our department offers numerous compulsory and elective "methods" courses – but to reflect on the *craft of political science research* to develop the foundational knowledge necessary to devise and execute high-quality quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods research projects, including developing research questions, selecting cases and methods, and acting ethically. We will also touch on proposal and grant writing and publishing. These topics, including approaches to mixed-methods research, will be further developed in POL 9593B Foundations of Qualitative Methods.

## LEARNING OBJECTIVES

By the end of the course, you should be able to:

- appreciate major methodological debates in the social sciences;
- understand how your research orientations and interests fit within political science as a scholarly discipline;
- identify and assess the positive and negative aspects of major approaches in political science;
- appreciate major issues related to designing research projects;
- navigate major issues of research design with your own research questions; and
- critically analyze readings and prepare materials to teach a topic.

# SCHEDULE OF TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENT DUE DATES

		PART I: Approaches to Political Science Research
1	5 Sep.	Discipline and Department: The Development of the Field(s)
		What is political science? How have political science and its subfields developed over time?
2	12 Sep.	Ontology & Epistemology I: Approaches and Goals
		What are the goals of political science? What are its possibilities and limitations?
		• Reflection Paper 1 (for everyone) Due: What do you think the goal of political science should be? What ontology and epistemoloogy are you aligned with? What kind of political scientist do you want to become?
		Practice discussion: Grant writing, with a focus on the SSHRC and OGS applications
3	19 Sep.	Ontology & Epistemology II: Ways into Politics: Levels and Objects of Analysis
		How might the researcher's chosen level or object of analysis lead to different styles of research and types of research products?
		• Reflection Paper 2 (for non-political theorists) Due: Are different research ontologies and epistemologies commensurable?
		Practice discussion: Work-life balance
4	26 Sep.	Ontology & Epistemology III: The Uses of Evidence
		What is the difference between inference and interpretation? Are new techniques collapsing the distinction? Is political science necessarily empirical? Do political theorists have 'methods'?
		• Reflection Paper 2 (for political theorists) Due: What role can, or should, empirical research have in political theory?
		Practice discussion: Getting organized – information and citation management
		PART II: Crafting Research Projects
5	3 Oct.	From Puzzle to Research Question
		What is worth studying? How do we know if we have a good research question? Are you oriented toward induction or deduction, or understanding regularities or particularities?
		Practice discussion: The literature review and preparing for the comprehensive examination
6	10 Oct.	Units of Analysis I: Selection and Comparison in Case-Oriented Research
		What is a case? What is an example? How should we select them?
	17 Oct.	Reading Week

		PART II: Crafting Research Projects (continued)
7	24 Oct.	Units of Analysis II: Observations in Variable-Oriented Research
		What is an observation? What is a sample? What is a variable?
		Practice discussion: Joining professional associations and participating in conferences
8	31 Oct.	Conceptualization
		How can we develop and evaluate concepts?
		• Design Paper 1 Due: Reflect on how issues related to selecting units of analysis (cases, observations, or examples) and comparison bear on your research project.
		Practice discussion: Discoverability and self-promotion
9	7 Nov.	Operationalization and Measurement
		How can we operationalize concepts as data that we can collect or reliably measure?
	11 Nov.	• Research Proposal Outline Due
10	14 Nov.	Causal Analysis I
		Political scientists often make causal arguments as they seek to describe, explain, or predict events. How can we infer the existence of relationships between causes and effects?
		Practice discussion: Publishing – When, why, how
11	21 Nov.	Causal Analysis II
		Events unfold over time and across space – what mechanisms can we identify? How do emerging set-theoretic approaches to causal inference differ from conventional statistical methods?
		• Design Paper 2 Due: Reflect on how issues related to conceptualization, measurement, and causation bear on your research project.
		Practice discussion: How and when to say "no" to requests to become involved in things
12	28 Nov.	Ethics, Transparency, and Replication
		What ethical standards guide research? What standards of transparency and
		replicability apply to different types of political science research?
13	5 Dec.	Dissertation Critique Paper Due  Proposal Symposium
13	J Dec.	Proposal Symposium  In our final meeting you will present and receive feedback on your proposal.
		• Proposal Draft Due Sunday, December 1 Midnight
		• Discussant Comments Due Tuesday, December 3 Midnight
		• Final Proposal Due Thursday, December 12 Midnight

## **COURSE WEBSITE**

This course makes use of OWL, Western's learning management system. Please refer to the course website regularly for announcements and course information.

## **COURSE FORMAT**

**Seminar.** This is a seminar course. While the instructor will introduce concepts and motivate debate, there will be no lecture. Students will lead and actively contribute to the discussion. Reading the required texts in advance is a necessary prerequisite for doing well in this course and will make for more exciting and insightful discussion. The course has a heavy reading load – please try to get a jump on it before the start of term.

**Practice discussions:** At various points throughout the term we will use some or all of the third hour of class time to discuss issues related to professional development and practice.

## **READINGS**

Required readings: We will be reading substantial portions of the books listed below, which have been ordered through the Western Bookstore. Gerring (2012) is available digitally through the library, but only one person can check it out at a time, so purchasing is recommended. The other books are not available digitally, and the current editions are not currently part of Western Libraries' collection.

Gerring, John. 2012. *Social Science Methodology: A Unified Framework*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/west/detail.action?docID=5120101

King, Gary, Robert O. Keohane, and Sidney Verba. 2021 [New Edition]. *Designing Social Inquiry: Scientific Inference in Qualitative Research*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Lowndes, Vivien, David Marsh, and Gerry Stoker. 2018. *Theory and Methods in Political Science*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Readings from these books are marked with a in the reading list. Journal articles and book chapters available digitally through Western Libraries are marked with a . Items available on the internet are marked with a . Finally, items posted on OWL are marked with a .

**Supplemental readings:** Supplemental readings are not required but may be useful to explore topics more deeply as you develop your projects and take other courses. You may find guidance and inspiration from Cambridge University Press's excellent *Strategies for Social Inquiry* series, which expands on almost every topic we discuss, and which is available digitally through the library at <a href="https://www.cambridge.org/core/series/strategies-for-social-inquiry/7D51FF41D1EF7D2933DCBBBEAB7DC277">https://www.cambridge.org/core/series/strategies-for-social-inquiry/7D51FF41D1EF7D2933DCBBBEAB7DC277</a>.

## **EVALUATION**

Participation (10%): Lively, informed, and thoughtful discussion is at the heart of this course. You will be expected to provide consistent, informed, and active participation throughout the term. Read the material, reflect on it before and during the seminar, and engage in the seminar discussion in an open-minded fashion. Not including the seminar leader, each student must email two discussion questions to the instructor before midnight the night before each class. These questions will be shared with the seminar leader. Grades will be assigned based not only on the frequency but also the quality of your oral contributions to the seminar.

**Seminar leadership (10%):** You will deliver one substantial presentation that will offer a brief introduction to the week's readings as well as *two* additional readings you select. In addition to effective summary and integration of the selected readings, you should raise questions and challenges in such a way as to stimulate seminar discussion in the time to follow. Sign-up will occur in the first class.

**Reflection Papers (2 x 5% = 10%):** In Part I of the course, you will prepare two short papers (3–5 pages) in which you will reflect on the field of political science and your position in it.

**Design Papers (2 x 5% = 10%):** In Part II of the course, you will prepare two short papers (3–5 pages) in which you will comment on how issues raised in the readings apply to your own research project. These will help you develop your Research Proposal assignment.

**Dissertation Critique Paper (Overview 5% + Critique 20% = 25%):** You will access, read, and critique the research design of a dissertation that has won a best dissertation award in the past six years from the Canadian Political Science Association (the Vincent Lemieux Prize, awarded every two years), the American Political Science Association or one of its organized sections, the British Political Studies Association, or the European Consortium for Political Research (the Jean Blondel PhD Prize or the Joni Lovenduski PhD Prize).

Select a dissertation on a topic you are interested in, or which uses methodologies that may appeal to you. The goal of this assignment is to put you in the shoes of a dissertation examiner and expose you to work by peers that is deemed high-quality in the field.

The *Overview* section will <u>describe</u> the author's ontology, research question, research design, evidence, and methodology. The *Critique* section will <u>evaluate</u> the author's research design (including case selection), choice of method or approach, and quality of the analysis and interpretation of it. Consider the appropriateness of the research design and methods to answering the research question, whether the methods and evidence used influenced the conclusion reached, and whether an alternative approach would have yielded different, and perhaps better, results. The Overview and Critique sections should *each* be no more than 5 pages in length – this is about quality and concision, not quantity.

In the Overview section, please indicate the award won and year of award, the author's department and university, the names of the supervisor and committee members, and a link to access the dissertation. Please also indicate whether the dissertation is a monograph or a dissertation-by-article. If the latter, be sure to comment on how the articles fit together.

You can find the names of the award winners and dissertation titles on the following websites:

- CPSA: <a href="https://cpsa-acsp.ca/prizes-vincent-lemieux-prize/">https://cpsa-acsp.ca/prizes-vincent-lemieux-prize/</a>
- APSA general awards: <a href="https://apsanet.org/programs/apsa-awards/">https://apsanet.org/programs/apsa-awards/</a> (click on "Proposal and Dissertation Awards")
- APSA organized section awards: <a href="https://apsanet.org/membership/organized-sections/organized-section-awards/">https://apsanet.org/membership/organized-sections/organized-section-awards/</a> (click on "Dissertation Awards")
- British PSA: https://www.psa.ac.uk/psa-awards-history
- ECPR, Jean Blondel PhD Prize: https://ecpr.eu/Prizes/PrizeWinners.aspx?PrizeID=4
- ECPR, Joni Lovenduski Gender and Politics PhD Prize: https://ecpr.eu/Prizes/PrizeWinners.aspx?PrizeID=9

You can search for dissertation texts on open research repositories:

- ProQuest Theses and Dissertations Global: <a href="https://www.proquest.com/pqdtglobal">https://www.proquest.com/pqdtglobal</a>.
- Library and Archives Canada archives Canadian theses and dissertations: <a href="https://library-archives.canada.ca/eng/services/services-libraries/theses/Pages/search-theses-canada.aspx">https://library-archives.canada.ca/eng/services/services-libraries/theses/Pages/search-theses-canada.aspx</a>.
- British theses are available (following registration) from the British Library at <a href="https://ethos.bl.uk/">https://ethos.bl.uk/</a>.
- The DART-Europe repository contains dissertations from 580 European universities: <a href="https://www.dart-europe.org/basic-search.php">https://www.dart-europe.org/basic-search.php</a>

Not all dissertations may be publicly accessible, especially if recent. If searches fail to turn up the dissertation you seek, you may find that some winners make their dissertations available on their personal websites. Most university libraries archive their own theses and dissertations on institutional research repositories, which can be located through internet searches. If all else fails, you may write the winner to request access to their dissertation.

**Project Proposal (35%):** Academic researchers routinely prepare proposals for future research projects, often to apply for grants. Doctoral students are required to write a proposal for their dissertation project. In this assignment you will prepare a concise project proposal with the goal of applying the knowledge gained throughout the course to a research topic. While this could function as the beginnings of your dissertation proposal or OGS/SSHRC grant application, there is no expectation that you will pursue this the topic and research design for your dissertation. The final proposal will include the following elements:

- Statement of the research question and your ontological orientation to it.
- Literature review, situating your question within it. What is your contribution?
- Description of your evidence collection strategy (including the selection of units of analysis and research ethics considerations, as appropriate) and how it is associated with your ontological orientation.
- Description of your analysis approach (including conceptualization and measurement, as appropriate) and how it is associated with your ontological orientation.
- Discussion of the limitations of your research design.

You must reference readings from class to justify your research design choices.

*Note:* Elaborate detail about evidence collection techniques (e.g., archival work, experiments, interviews, or surveys) is not expected, but you should provide enough information that the reader will understand the nature of your evidence and where it comes from and will consider the project feasible.

- Outline (5%) The one-page outline should, at minimum, identify the research question and tentative claims. The instructor will provide timely feedback.
- *Draft Proposal for Discussant* (no grade) Submit the draft proposal through OWL. The instructor will circulate your proposal to your two discussants.
- *Discussant Comments* (5%) Submit discussant comments through OWL. In no more than three pages, you will identify the most and least successful aspects of the draft proposal and one suggestion for how to improve it. Include two questions to pose to the author at the Symposium.
- *Proposal Symposium* (no grade) Each student will present their research proposal (maximum 8 minutes) after which each discussant will present their comments (maximum 5 minutes).
- Final Proposal (25%) You will use the feedback from your discussants and symposium discussion to revise your proposal prior to final submission. The final proposals should be at minimum 15 and maximum 20 pages, be double-spaced in a 12-point serif font and with one-inch margins, and use Chicago in-text author-date style (<a href="https://www.lib.uwo.ca/essayhelp/index.html">https://www.lib.uwo.ca/essayhelp/index.html</a>).

### **COURSE POLICIES**

*E-mail policy:* All Western University students are required to have an @uwo.ca e-mail account. The instructor will *only* respond to e-mails sent from a Western University account, that clearly identify the sender, and have "POL9502" in the subject line. The instructor will *not* accept assignments by e-mail.

Late assignments: The penalty for late assignments is two percentage points per day (including weekend days). A grade of 80% on an assignment therefore becomes 72% in four days. Assignments more than 10 days late will not be accepted. Extensions due to illness require a medical certificate. If you foresee problems meeting submission deadlines please consult the instructor early; accommodations can always be made with adequate advance notice. This means at least one week before the deadline, not the night before the work is due! The last day for submission of term assignments with penalty is **Monday, December 16, 2024**, after which they cannot be accepted without special arrangements agreed.

Use of AI tools: Using ChatGPT or other AI tools to generate content for your assignments is unacceptable in this class. Our goal in this course is to read texts closely and think deeply about issues and questions that you will have to resolve as you continue with your doctoral studies. Authentic, original thought is essential to this intellectual process. If I suspect that an assignment contains AI-generated writing, I will discuss its content in a meeting with you. If you are unable to explain and defend the content as your own work, I will require you to re-write the

assignment. Any late penalties incurred since the original due date will be applied to the rewritten assignment.

Academic Offences: Scholastic offences are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at: <a href="http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic policies/appeals/scholastic discipline grad.pdf">http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/pdf/academic policies/appeals/scholastic discipline grad.pdf</a>

All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism-detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (<a href="http://www.turnitin.com">http://www.turnitin.com</a>). If you are unclear about what constitutes plagiarism or how to reference sources, please visit the Writing Support Centre <a href="http://www.sdc.uwo.ca/writing/">http://www.lib.uwo.ca/writing/</a> or review information at: <a href="http://www.lib.uwo.ca/tutorials/plagiarism/">http://www.lib.uwo.ca/tutorials/plagiarism/</a>.

## **ASSISTANCE**

If you are having trouble with the course material or are falling behind in your work, please contact the course instructor as soon as possible. We can only help you if the lines of communication are open. Learning to express ideas clearly is a central goal of the university experience. If academic writing does not come easily to you, you are strongly encouraged to make use of the Writing Support Centre: <a href="http://www.sdc.uwo.ca/writing/">http://www.sdc.uwo.ca/writing/</a>.

*Health/Wellness Services:* Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Western's Wellness and Well-Being hub at <a href="http://www.uwo.ca/uwocom/mentalhealth/">http://www.uwo.ca/uwocom/mentalhealth/</a> for a complete list of options about how to obtain help.

Accessible Education Western (AEW): Western is committed to achieving barrier-free accessibility for all its members, including graduate students. As part of this commitment, Western provides a variety of services devoted to promoting, advocating, and accommodating persons with disabilities in their respective graduate program. Graduate students with disabilities (for example, chronic illnesses, mental health conditions, mobility impairments) are strongly encouraged to register with Accessible Education Western at <a href="http://academicsupport.uwo.ca/accessible\_education/index.html">http://academicsupport.uwo.ca/accessible\_education/index.html</a>, a confidential service designed to support graduate and undergraduate students through their academic program. With the appropriate documentation, the student will work with both AEW and their graduate programs (normally their Graduate Chair and/or Course instructor) to ensure that appropriate academic accommodations to program requirements are arranged. These accommodations include individual counselling, alternative formatted literature, accessible campus transportation, learning strategy instruction, writing exams and assistive technology instruction.

# **SCHEDULE OF READINGS**

= In required book

= Journal articles and book chapters available digitally through Western Libraries

**(**) = Available on the internet

= PDF on OWL

		Part I: Approaches to Political Science Research
1	5	Discipline and Department: The Development of the Field(s)
	Sep.	On the field of political science and its development
		Bevir, Mark. 2022. <i>A History of Political Science</i> . Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009043458">https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009043458</a> .
		Lucas, Jack. 2013. "A Century of Political Science in Canada." <i>Journal of Canadian</i>
		Studies 47 (2):89–118. https://doi.org/10.3138/jcs.47.2.89 or muse.jhu.edu/article/542273.
		Elowndes, Marsh, and Stoker, Ch. 1, "Introduction," 1–13.
		Collins, Randall. 1994. "Why the social sciences won't become high-consensus, rapid-discovery science." <i>Sociological Forum</i> 9 (2):155–177. <a href="https://www.jstor.org/stable/685040">https://www.jstor.org/stable/685040</a> .
		On subfields: IR and political theory
		Reiter, Dan. 2015. "Should We Leave Behind the Subfield of International
		Relations?" Annual Review of Political Science 18 (1):481–499.
		https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-053013-041156.  Rehfeld, Andrew. 2010. "Offensive Political Theory." <i>Perspectives on Politics</i> 8
		(2):465–486. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592710001209">https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592710001209</a> .
		Corbett, Ross J. 2011. "Political Theory within Political Science." <i>PS: Political Science &amp; Politics</i> 44 (3):565–570. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511000679">https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511000679</a> .
		Supplemental: The development of Canadian Politics as a subfield
		➡ Albaugh, Quinn M. 2017. "The Americanization of Canadian Political Science? The Doctoral Training of Canadian Political Science Faculty." <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> 50 (1):243-262. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423917000269">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423917000269</a> .
		➡ Marland, Alex, and Jared J. Wesley. 2017. "Surveying the Canadian State: Evolution of Canadian Political Science, Politics, and Government Since 1967." <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> 50 (1):377–393. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S000842391600113X">https://doi.org/10.1017/S000842391600113X</a> .
		Rocher, François. 2007. "The End of the 'Two Solitudes'? The Presence (or Absence) of the Work of French-speaking Scholars in Canadian Politics." <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> 40 (4):833–857. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423907071132">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423907071132</a> .
		White, Linda A., Richard Simeon, Robert Vipond, and Jennifer Wallner, eds. 2008. <i>The Comparative Turn in Canadian Political Science</i> . Vancouver: UBC Press. <a href="https://books-scholarsportal-info.proxy1.lib.uwo.ca/uri/ebooks/ebooks3/upress/2013-08-25/1/9780774856188">https://books-scholarsportal-info.proxy1.lib.uwo.ca/uri/ebooks/ebooks3/upress/2013-08-25/1/9780774856188</a>

# 12 Ontology & Epistemology I: Approaches and Goals Sep. On conflicts over ontology and epistemology in the social sciences King, Keohane, and Verba, Ch. 1, "The *Science* in Social Science," 1–32. Riker, William H. 1982. "The Two-Party System and Duverger's Law: An Essay on the History of Political Science." The American Political Science Review 76 (4):753-766. https://doi.org/10.2307/1962968. Lowndes, Marsh, and Stoker, Ch. 11, "A Skin is Not a Sweater: Ontology and Epistemology in Political Science," 177–198. Mahoney, James, and Gary Goertz. 2006. "A Tale of Two Cultures: Contrasting Quantitative and Qualitative Research." Political Analysis 14 (3):227–249. https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpj017. Brown-Saracino, Japonica. 2021. "Unsettling Definitions of Qualitative Research." Qualitative Sociology 44 (4):591–597. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11133-021-09498-9. Kapiszewski, Diana, Lauren M. MacLean, and Benjamin L. Read. 2015. "Field research in political science: practices and principles." Ch. 1 in Field Research in Political Science: Practices and Principles, edited by Diana Kapiszewski, Lauren M. MacLean and Benjamin L. Read, 1-33. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Lowndes, Marsh, and Stoker, Ch. 19, "The Relevance of Political Science," 321–331. Supplemental Politics." World Politics 29 (4):489–522. https://doi.org/10.2307/2010037.

Almond, Gabriel A., and Stephen J. Genco. 1977. "Clouds, Clocks, and the Study of Flyvbjerg, Bent. 2001. Making Social Science Matter: Why Social Inquiry Fails and How it Can Succeed Again. Trans. Steven Sampson. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

#### 3 19 Sep.

# Ontology & Epistemology II: Levels and Objects of Analysis

- Parsons, Craig. How to Map Arguments in Political Science. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. Introduction, Ch. 1, and Conclusion.
- Abbott, Andrew. 2004. *Methods of Discovery: Heuristics for the Social Sciences*. Ch. 2, "Basic Debates and Methodological Practices," (41–79) and Ch. 6, "Fractal Heuristics," 162–210.

## Supplemental

- Alford, Robert R. and Roger Friedland. 1985. Powers of Theory: Capitalism, the State, and Democracy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Especially Introduction and Ch. 1 on levels and analysis and conceptualization.
- Jung, Hoyoon. 2019. "The Evolution of Social Constructivism in Political Science: Past to Present." SAGE Open 9 (1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019832703.
- List, Christian, and Kai Spiekermann. 2013. "Methodological Individualism and Holism in Political Science: A Reconciliation." American Political Science Review 107 (4):629–643. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055413000373.
- Milner, Helen V. 1998. "Rationalizing Politics: The Emerging Synthesis of International, American, and Comparative Politics." International Organization 52 (4):759–786. https://doi.org/10.1162/002081898550743.

10 Version 1, 14 Aug. 2024

26 Sep.

# **Ontology & Epistemology III: The Uses of Evidence**

Types and uses of evidence: Inference and interpretation

- King, Keohane, and Verba, Ch. 2, "Descriptive Inference," 33–72.
- Bevir, Mark, and R.A.W. Rhodes. 2006. "Defending Interpretation." *European Political Science* 5 (1):69–83. <a href="https://openresearch-repository.anu.edu.au/bitstream/1885/22234/2/01">https://openresearch-repository.anu.edu.au/bitstream/1885/22234/2/01</a> Bevir Defending Interp 2006.pdf

## Thick and thin evidence

- Geertz, Clifford. 1973. "Thick Description: Toward an Interpretive Theory of Culture." Reprint, <a href="https://philpapers.org/archive/GEETTD.pdf">https://philpapers.org/archive/GEETTD.pdf</a>
- ➤ Wedeen, Lisa. 2010. "Reflections on Ethnographic Work in Political Science." *Annual Review of Political Science* 13 (1):255–272. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.052706.123951.
- Lucas, Christopher et al. 2015. "Computer-Assisted Text Analysis for Comparative Politics." *Political Analysis* 23 (2):254–277. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpu019">https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpu019</a>.

## Empirics and political theory

- Miller, David. 2008. "Political Philosophy for Earthlings." Ch. 2 in David Leopold and Marc Stears, eds., *Political Theory: Methods and Approaches*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 29–48.
- Grant, Ruth W. 2002. "Political Theory, Political Science, and Politics." *Political Theory* 30 (4):577–595. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0090591702030004007">https://doi.org/10.1177/0090591702030004007</a>.

# Supplemental: Emerging big data and data science approaches in political science

- ➡ Brady, Henry E. 2019. "The Challenge of Big Data and Data Science." *Annual Review of Political Science* 22 (1):297–323. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-090216-023229">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-090216-023229</a>.
- **▼** Tanweer, Anissa, Emily Kalah Gade, P.M. Krafft, and Sarah Dreier. 2021. "Why the Data Revolution Needs Qualitative Thinking." *Harvard Data Science Review* 3 (3):1–32. doi: https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.eee0b0da.
- Grossman, Jonathan, and Ami Pedahzur. 2020. "Political Science and Big Data: Structured Data, Unstructured Data, and How to Use Them." *Political Science Quarterly* 135 (2):225–257. https://doi.org/10.1002/polq.13032.

## Supplemental: Methods in political theory

List, Christian, and Laura Valentini. 2016. "The Methodology of Political Theory." In *The Oxford Handbook of Philosophical Methodology*: Oxford University Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199668779.013.10">https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199668779.013.10</a>.

Swift, Adam, and Stuart White. 2008. "Political theory, social science, and real politics." In *Political Theory: Methods and Approaches*, edited by David Leopold and Marc Stears. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

		PART II: Crafting Research Projects
5	3 Oct.	From Puzzle to Research Question  Gerring, John, and Jason Seawright. 2022. Finding your Social Science Project: The Research Sandbox, Strategies for Social Inquiry. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009118620">https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009118620</a> . Chapters 1−4 (pp. 1−101) ** This is not the same book as Gerring (2012)! The whole (short) book is very good if you want to keep going. **
		Situating your work: The literature review  ★ Knopf, Jeffrey W. 2006. "Doing a Literature Review." PS: Political Science & Politics 39 (1):127–132. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096506060264">https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096506060264</a> .  ★ Randolph, Justus. 2009. "A Guide to Writing the Dissertation Literature Review." Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation 14(13). <a href="https://doi.org/10.7275/b0az-8t74">https://doi.org/10.7275/b0az-8t74</a> .  ★ Jungherr, Andreas. 2016. "Twitter use in election campaigns: A systematic literature review." Journal of Information Technology & Politics 13(1): 72–91. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2015.1132401">https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2015.1132401</a> .
6	10 Oct.	Units of Analysis I: Selection and Comparison in Case-Oriented Research  decorated della Porta, Donatella. 2008. "Comparative Analysis: Case-Oriented versus Variable-Oriented Research." Ch. 11 in Donatella della Porta and Michael Keating, eds., Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences: A Pluralist Perspective. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. 198–222. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511801938.  Lowndes, Marsh, and Stoker, Ch. 16, "The Comparative Method," 271–289.  On cases and case selection  Ragin, Charles. 1992. "Introduction: Cases of 'What is a Case?'" In Charles Ragin and Howard Becker, eds., What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 1−18. https://www.miguelangelmartinez.net/IMG/pdf/1992 Ragin What is a case chapter.pdf  Seawright, Jason and John Gerring. 2008. "Case Selection Techniques in Case Studies: A Menu of Qualitative and Quantitative Options," Political Research Quarterly 61(2): 294–308. https://doi.org/10.1177/1065912907313077.  Gisselquist, Rachel M. 2014. "Paired Comparison and Theory Development: Considerations for Case Selection." PS: Political Science & Politics 47 (2):477–484. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096514000419.  Pepinsky, Thomas B. 2019. "The Return of the Single-Country Study." Annual Review of Political Science 22: 187-203. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051017-113314.  Supplemental resources on case study research design. More on this in POL9593!  George, Alexander L., and Andrew Bennett. 2005. Case Studies and Theory Development

Widner, Jennifer, Michael Woolcock, and Daniel Ortega Nieto, eds. 2022. *The Case* for Case Studies: Methods and Applications in International Development, Strategies for Social Inquiry. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108688253 Geddes, Barbara. 1990. "How the cases you choose affect the answers you get: selection bias in comparative politics." Political Analysis 2:131–50. https://www.jstor.org/stable/23317768. See also her Paradigms and Sand Castles: Theories and Research Design in Comparative Politics (Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press, 2003). Ragin, Charles C. 2014. The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Berkeley: University of California Press. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520957350. (Especially chs. 1–4.) Tarrow, Sidney. 2010. "The Strategy of Paired Comparison: Toward a Theory of Practice." Comparative Political Studies 43 (2):230–259. https://doi.org/10.1177/0010414009350044. Lieberman, Evan S. 2005. "Nested Analysis as a Mixed-Method Strategy for Comparative Research." American Political Science Review 99 (3):435–452. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055405051762. 7 24 Units of Analysis II: Observations in Variable-Oriented Research Oct. King, Keohane, and Verba, Ch. 4, "Determining What to Observe," 113–147. Gerring, John. Ch. 4, "Analysis," 107–140. Lynch, Julia D. 2013. "Aligning Sampling Strategies with Analytic Goals." Ch. 1 in Mosley, Layna, ed. Interview Research in Political Science. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. https://doi.org/10.7591/9780801467974. Hirschauer, Norbert, Sven Grüner, Oliver Mußhoff, Claudia Becker, and Antje Jantsch. 2021. "Inference Using Non-Random Samples? Stop Right There!" Significance 18 (5):20–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/1740-9713.01568. 8 31 Conceptualization Oct. Sartori, Giovanni. 1970. "Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics." American Political Science Review 64 (4):1033–1053. https://doi.org/10.2307/1958356. Gerring, John. Ch. 5, "Concepts," 107–140. Examples: Democracy, Culture, and Regime Types Collier, David and Steven Levitsky. 1997. "Democracy with Adjectives: Conceptual Innovation in Comparative Research." World Politics 49(3): 430-451. https://www.jstor.org/stable/25054009. Munck, Gerardo L., and Jay Verkuilen. 2002. "Conceptualizing and Measuring Democracy: Evaluating Alternative Indices." Comparative Political Studies 35 (1):5-34. https://doi.org/10.1177/001041400203500101. Wedeen, Lisa. 2002. "Conceptualizing Culture: Possibilities for Political Science," American Political Science Review 96(4): 713–728.

Version 1, 14 Aug. 2024

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055402000400

		➡ Wigell, Mikael. 2008. "Mapping 'Hybrid Regimes': Regime Types and Concepts in Comparative Politics." <i>Democratization</i> 15 (2):230-250. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701846319">https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340701846319</a> .
9	7	Operationalization and Measurement
	Nov.	Gerring, John. Ch. 7, "Measurement," 155–196.
		King, Keohane, and Verba, Ch. 5, "Understanding What to Avoid," 148–204.
		Gary Goertz and James Mahoney, 2012, "Concepts and measurement: Ontology and epistemology," <i>Social Science Information</i> 51(2): 205–216. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1177/0539018412437108">https://doi.org/10.1177/0539018412437108</a> .
		Adcock, Robert, and David Collier. 2001. "Measurement Validity: A Shared Standard
		for Qualitative and Quantitative Research." American Political Science Review 95 (3):529–546. doi: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055401003100.
		Examples: Gender, Populism, Urbanity, Democratization
		Amanda Bittner and Elizabeth Goodyear-Grant. 2017. "Sex Isn't Gender: Reforming Concepts and Measurements in the Study of Public Opinion." <i>Political Behavior</i> 39(4): 1019–1041. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-017-9391-y">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11109-017-9391-y</a> .
		Alexander Wuttke, Christian Schimpf and Harald Schoen, 2020, "When the Whole Is Greater than the Sum of Its Parts," <i>American Political Science Review</i> 114(2): 356–374. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055419000807">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0003055419000807</a> .
		Armstrong, David A., Jack Lucas, and Zack Taylor. 2022. "The Urban-Rural Divide in Canadian Federal Elections, 1896–2019." <i>Canadian Journal of Political Science</i> 55 (1):84–106. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423921000792">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423921000792</a> .
		Baviskar, Siddhartha and Mary Frane T. Malone. 2004. "What Democracy Means to Citizens – and Why It Matters." <i>European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies</i> 76: 3–23. <a href="https://www.jstor.org/stable/25676069">https://www.jstor.org/stable/25676069</a>
10	14	Causal Analysis I
	Nov.	On causal argumentation and inference
		Gerring, John. Ch. 8, "Causal Arguments," 197–217.
		Gerring, John. Ch. 9, "Causal Analyses," 218–255.
		Gerring, John. Ch. 10, "Causal Strategies: X and Y," 256–290.
		Collier, David. 2011. "Understanding Process Tracing." <i>PS: Political Science &amp; Politics</i> 44 (4):823-830. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511001429">https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096511001429</a> .
		Supplemental on causal inference
		King, Keohane, and Verba, Ch. 3, "Causality and Causal Inference," 73–112.
		Gerring, John. Ch. 11, "Causal Strategies: Beyond X and Y," 291–326.
		Bennett, Andrew, and Jeffrey T. Checkel, eds. 2014. <i>Process Tracing: From</i>
		Metaphor to Analytic Tool, Strategies for Social Inquiry. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139858472">https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139858472</a> .

		Urlacher, Brian R. 2019. "Complexity, Causality, and Control in Statistical
		Modeling." American Behavioral Scientist 64 (1):55–73.
		https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764219859641.
11	21	Causal Analysis II
	Nov.	Mechanisms: On time and temporality, space and spatiality
		Pierson, Paul. 2004. Politics in Time: History, Institutions, and Social Analysis.
		Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Chs. 1–3 (17–102) and Conclusion (167–178).
		https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400841080
		Logan, John R. 2012. "Making a Place for Space: Spatial Thinking in Social
		Science." Annual Review of Sociology 38:507–524. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-
		<u>071811-145531</u> .
		Set-theoretic approaches to causal inference
		Gerring, John. Ch. 12, "Varying Approaches to Causal Inference," 327–358.
		⇒ Johais, Eva, Markus Bayer, and Daniel Lambach. 2020. "How do states collapse?
		Towards a model of causal mechanisms." <i>Global Change, Peace &amp; Security</i> 32 (2):179–197. https://doi.org/10.1080/14781158.2020.1780204.
		177. https://doi.org/10.1000/14701130.2020.1700204.
		Supplemental on mechanisms
		Bennett, Andrew and Benjamin Mishkin. 2023. "Nineteen Kinds of Theories about
		Mechanisms that Every Social Science Graduate Student Should Know." Ch. 8 in <i>The</i>
		Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Political Science: Oxford University Press. 154–182.
		https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780197519806.013.8
		Gerring, John. 2008. "The Mechanismic Worldview: Thinking Inside the Box."
		British Journal of Political Science 38 (1):161–179.
		https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007123408000082.
		Kreuzer, Marcus. 2023. The Grammar of Time: A Toolbox for Comparative Historical
		Analysis. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
		Supplemental on set-theoretic approaches
		Schneider, Carsten Q., and Claudius Wagemann. 2012. Set-Theoretic Methods for the
		Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge
		University Press. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139004244">https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139004244</a>
12	28	Ethics, Transparency, and Replication
	Nov.	On research ethics
		Fujii, Lee Ann. 2012. "Research Ethics 101: Dilemmas and Responsibilities." <i>PS</i> :
		Political Science & Politics 45 (4):717–723. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096512000819
		Research ethics in Canada and at Western
		Take the Tri-Council Policy Statement: Ethical Conduct for Research Involving
		Humans (TCPS 2) training: <a href="https://tcps2core.ca/welcome">https://tcps2core.ca/welcome</a>
		Review NMREB process: <a href="https://uwo.ca/research/ethics/human/submission.html">https://uwo.ca/research/ethics/human/submission.html</a>

# On data access and research transparency

- ► Lupia, Arthur, and Colin Elman. 2014. "Openness in Political Science: Data Access and Research Transparency: Introduction." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 47 (1):19–42. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096513001716.
- ➡ Dafoe, Allan. 2014. "Science Deserves Better: The Imperative to Share Complete Replication Files." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 47 (1):60–66. https://doi.org/10.1017/S104909651300173X.
- Elman, Colin, and Diana Kapiszewski. 2014. "Data Access and Research Transparency in the Qualitative Tradition." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 47 (1):43–47. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096513001777">https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096513001777</a>.
- ➡ Jacobs, Alan M. et al. 2021. "The Qualitative Transparency Deliberations: Insights and Implications." *Perspectives on Politics* 19 (1):171–208. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1537592720001164.
- ➡ Johnson, Genevieve Fuji, Mark Pickup, Eline A. de Rooij, and Rémi Léger. 2017. "Research Openness in Canadian Political Science: Toward an Inclusive and Differentiated Discussion." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 50 (1):311–328. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008423917000026.

# Supplemental: Transparency and replication

- Transparency." <a href="https://www.dartstatement.org/">https://www.dartstatement.org/</a>
- ➤ King, Gary. 1995. "Replication, Replication." *PS: Political Science & Politics* 28 (3):444-452. https://doi.org/10.2307/420301.

# Supplemental: Cautionary tales of data fabrication

- Aschwanden, Christie and Maggie Koerth-Baker. 2016. "How Two Grad Students Uncovered an Apparent Fraud And A Way To Change Opinions On Transgender Rights." FiveThirtyEight.com, 7 Apr. <a href="https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/how-two-grad-students-uncovered-michael-lacour-fraud-and-a-way-to-change-opinions-on-transgender-rights">https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/how-two-grad-students-uncovered-michael-lacour-fraud-and-a-way-to-change-opinions-on-transgender-rights</a>.
- A Bartlett, Tom. 2015. "The Unraveling of Michael LaCour." *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 2 June. <a href="https://www.chronicle.com/article/the-unraveling-of-michael-lacour/">https://www.chronicle.com/article/the-unraveling-of-michael-lacour/</a>