Politics 4413G/9729B: Intergenerational Justice
Tuesday 1:30-3:30
SSC 4103

Instructor: E. Finneron-Burns (efinnero@uwo.ca)
Office: SSC 4138
Office Hours: Mondays 10-11 (or by appointment)

Course Outline
This course examines what our obligations to past and future people are. We have a huge influence over several matters including who will be born, how many people will be born, and what kinds of lives they will lead (including the environment and climate change). This leads to a number of normative questions including how good a life we ought to leave future people, whether future people have rights, how many future people there should be, and what we ought to do about historic injustices.

The emphasis throughout the course will be on critical review of the arguments provided in the readings and by your fellow students. Each week the two readings will provide differing views on the same question. Your job is to reflect on and evaluate the persuasiveness of the arguments in each. In each seminar we will discuss the merits and demerits of each article as well as comment on the topic at large. Your essays and exam will require you to be able to argue persuasively, taking due consideration of others’ arguments.

Readings
Undergraduates must read the core readings each week and graduate students must also read the supplementary readings where applicable. Graduate students should be prepared to explain the contents of the supplementary readings should the need arise during class discussions.

Assessment
Blog Post Assignment (15%) – due February 11 in class
Research Essay (60%) – due March 31 in class
Participation (25%)

Blog Post Assignment
A short piece, of no more than 1000 words, responding to the question “Do we have obligations to future generations?” The piece should be aimed at an educated but non-specialist audience.

Research Essay:
3000 words (undergraduates) or 5000 words (graduates). You may choose your own essay topic but you must come and see me or email me to discuss your proposed essay topic at least two weeks before the due date. This is for your benefit—to ensure your topic is relevant and focused enough to be successfully done within the confines of the word limit. The essays are research projects meaning that you are expected to read beyond the scope of the syllabus.
Response Papers:
You are required to email to me, by 9am on the day of class, a short (no longer than one page) response paper in which you should explain what you think the strengths and weaknesses are of each reading and any questions you have about the readings. The aim of the response papers is to a) ensure that each member of the seminar group has given due consideration to the readings each week in order to improve the quality of our discussions; and b) to hone your skills in critical analysis in preparation for the research essays and final exam; c) let me know what everyone did not understand in the readings in order to guide our discussion in class.

Participation:
Participation is assessed primarily on the quality of your contributions to class discussions, but you will not do well if you attend only a few classes even if your contributions in those classes are very good. Similarly, if you simply attend every class without contributing, you will find your participation mark disappointing. Participation marks can be earned through critiquing the readings, raising questions or requesting clarification of things you did not understand (there are no stupid questions!), responding to classmates’ points, participating in in-class debates or small group discussions, among others. If you are struggling with active participation in class, please do email me or come to see me in my office hours to discuss strategies on how to improve.

How to Do Well
The emphasis throughout is on the critical evaluation of arguments. How are important political positions supported? In our weekly discussions we will examine the arguments put forward in the readings and consider whether or not they are persuasive. Often the two readings for the week put forward conflicting views, thus inviting us to make decisions about which has the better argument. (It is taken for granted that we may not all reach the same conclusion.)

Late Submission of Work
Late essays will incur a penalty of 5% per day and will not be accepted after one week. In extenuating circumstances, extensions may be granted, but only if arranged in advance of the due date. Please note that computer problems do not constitute extenuating circumstances—you are strongly advised to back up your work.

Email Etiquette
I will do my best to respond to emails received Monday-Friday 9am-5pm within 24 hours. Occasionally there will be delays in replies but if you do not hear back from me within 48 hours (Monday-Friday), please do resend your email as it might have been missed (professors receive a lot of emails!). Note that I rarely work on evenings, weekends, or holidays, so emails sent at those times will take longer to receive a reply. Before you fire off an email at 2am, check and double check this syllabus to see if your question is answered here.

Electronic Devices
There is a vast array of research demonstrating that the use of electronic devices not only does not aid learning, but actually hinders it. Research suggests that information taken down on laptops is not retained as well as handwritten notes. Laptops may present a variety of social media and internet distractions to the user and those within eyesight, and create a ‘wall’ of screens that is not conducive to active discussion. With this in mind, laptops are not banned, but I encourage you to think seriously about whether you really need to use it during
a discussion-based class such as this. It goes without saying that if you do choose to use your laptop/tablet, you should not use it for non-class related activities. Students found to be using technology for non-academic purposes may be asked to leave class. Cell phones must be put on silent (not vibrate) and placed out of view for the duration of class.

**Schedule**

January 14 – Introduction to the course

Are there obligations to the future?

January 21 – Can future people be harmed?

Core:


Supplementary:
Woodward, James, “The Non-Identity Problem”

January 28 – Do future people have rights?


Supplementary:
Reiman, Jeffrey, “Being Fair to Future People: The Non-Identity Problem in the Original Position”

February 4 – Reciprocity and Community


Avner de-Shalit (1995), *Why Posterity Matters*, chapter 1

Supplementary:

What are our obligations to the future?

February 11 – Should there be future people?
Finneron-Burns, Elizabeth, “What’s Wrong with Human Extinction?” *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*

Kaczmarek, Patrick and Simon Beard, “Human Extinction and Our Obligations to the Past” *Utilitas* 2

Supplementary:

Benatar, David, *Better Never to have Been*, ch. 6.

February 25 – *How much should we save for future people?*


Supplementary:


March 3 – *Creating the best children*


March 10 – *Conferring advantage on children*

Harry Brighouse and Adam Swift (2014), *Family Values*, ch. 5


Are there duties to the past/because of the past?

March 17 – *Do inherited benefits create obligations?*


Supplementary:

March 24 – *Do inherited wrongs create obligations?*


Supplementary:

March 31 – *Other types of redress—remembrance, truth & reconciliation, apologies*


Supplementary: